Anaesthesia Section

Biochemical and Haemodynamic Changes during Transurethral Resection of Prostate and Percutaneous Lithotripsy-An Observational Pilot Study

NANDITA ADLAKHA1, SUJATA CHAUDHARY2, PRASHANT KUMAR3, SHARMILA AHUJA4, RL TRIPATHI5

(CC) BY-NC-ND

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Fluid absorption and associated electrolyte derangement is an inevitable complication of Transuretheral Resection of Prostate (TURP) and Percutaneous Lithotripsy (PCNL) irrigation during transurethral resection of prostrate and PCNL.

**Aim:** to analyse changes in serum electrolytes, Lactate Dehydrogenase (LDH) and acid base status, and to evaluate their role as early predictors of clinical and haemodynamic changes following continuous irrigation during transurethral resection of prostrate and PCNL.

**Materials and Methods:** The present observational study was conducted on 20 patients, who underwent TURP and 20 patients who underwent PCNL. A 1.5% glycine and 0.9% normal saline were used for irrigation during TURP and PCNL, respectively. The levels of serum sodium, potassium, free calcium ion, LDH and acid base status were monitored in all patients preoperatively, intraoperatively, at the end of surgery, 6 hours and 24 hours

postoperatively. Intraoperative and postoperative haemodynamic parameters were also studied. Results were expressed in the form of mean and standard deviation. A p-value <0.05 considered significant.

**Results:** In TURP group, statistically significant changes were seen in serum sodium, potassium, LDH and free calcium ions. There was no change in acid base status of patients. In PCNL group, statistically significant changes were seen in serum sodium, LDH, free calcium ion and acid base status. It was observed that some of these changes persisted even 24 hours, postoperatively. However, despite the above changes, the haemodynamic parameters remained within normal limits.

**Conclusion:** Changes in serum sodium, serum potassium and free calcium ion during TURP and PCNL were consistent findings which implies fluid absorption. These changes persist upto 24 hours postoperatively. The monitoring of these parameters in postoperative period should be continued especially in patients suspected to develop or having TURP syndrome.

Keywords: Hyperkalemia, Hypocalcaemia, Hyponatremia, Prostectomy lithotripsy

# INTRODUCTION

Endoscopic urologic procedures like TURP for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH) and PCNL for renal stones require the use of continuous irrigation. Irrigating fluid absorbed into systemic circulation may lead to various physiological, biochemical, metabolic, haemodynamic and haematological changes [1]. In most patients, fluid absorption is mild to moderate resulting in fluid shifts and volume changes. However, in some patients it may manifest through acute change in intravascular volume and plasma solute concentrations in form of TURP syndrome [2].

Inspite of improved instruments, technique of surgery and utilisation of "non haemolytic" solutions, TURP syndrome has an incidence of 10%-15% of all procedures and a mortality of 0.2%-0.8%. It may occur within 15 minutes of start of resection to 24 hours postoperatively. Since, TURP syndrome lacks a stereotypical presentation it's diagnosis is difficult. However, early diagnosis and prompt institution of therapy is the key to successful management of TURP syndrome. Hahn RG and Drobin D et al., demonstrated that measurement of volume absorption by volumetric analysis and Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) absorption were unreliable clinical tools for measuring fluid absorption during TURP (3,4). Coppinger SW et al., demonstrated a method to measure fluid absorption using local cell transducers which is expensive and not easily available [5]. Few studies regarding physiological, biochemical, metabolic, haematological, hormonal and haemodynamic changes during TURP and PCNL have been done in past, but their role in predicting clinical manifestations and haemodynamic changes are not well

defined [6,7,8,9]. Hence, there is a need to have a reliable and easily available methods to measure fluid absorption both during endourological procedures.

The present study was conducted to assess changes in serum electrolytes, LDH (as markers of haemolysis) and acid base status, to evaluate their role as early predictors of clinical and haemodynamic changes following continuous irrigation during TURP and PCNL.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This observational study was carried out in UCMS and GTB Hospital, Delhi, India between 2011-2012. Twenty patients presenting for TURP and 20 patients for PCNL were included in this prospective observational (analytic) study conducted after taking Institutional Ethical Committee approval (CTRI/2012/11/003130). Written informed consents were taken from every patient.

Inclusion criteria: Patients under American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade I to III who underwent TURP and PCNL were included in the study.

**Exclusion criteria:** Patients having chronic renal failure, hepatobiliary dysfunction, biochemical derangements (sodium, potassium), local anaesthetic allergies, contraindications to subarachnoid block (in TURP patients), and ASA grade >III were excluded from the study.

**Sample size calculation:** Sample size was calculated by taking effect size of 0.7 for sodium for preoperative and postoperative value [10]. By taking effect size as 0.7 and 80% power to declare that the mean of the paired differences is significantly different from

RESULTS

zero, i.e., a two-sided p-value is less than 0.05, a random sample of 20 pairs was required.

#### **Study Procedure**

A detailed history, thorough physical examination and relevant laboratory investigations like haemoglobin, total leukocyte count, serum electrolytes, blood urea, serum creatinine, random blood sugar were conducted.

The TURP was done under subarachnoid block and 1.5% glycine was used as irrigant solution. PCNL was done under general anaesthesia and normal saline (0.9%) as irrigant solution. Lactated Ringer's solution was used as intravenous fluid in all patients. All patients were monitored using continuous electrocardiography, non invasive blood pressure, heart rate, pulse oxymetry, capnography and temperature. Changes of more than 20% from baseline in heart rate and blood pressure were taken as clinically significant.

All patients were carefully observed perioperatively for restlessness, dizziness, confusion, shortness of breath and bradycardia (under regional anaesthesia) and significant changes in cardiac rate or rhythm, blood pressure and oxygen saturation (under general anaesthesia) for early detection of possibility of TURP syndrome. The procedure was terminated when there were early manifestations of TURP syndrome.

Venous and arterial blood samples were drawn preoperatively (at the time of insertion of cannula), 30 minutes after starting irrigation, 30 minutes after the end of irrigation in PCNL or 30 minutes after completion of procedure (Foley's catheter insertion) in TURP, 6 hours and 24 hours postoperatively. Venous samples were analysed for sodium, potassium and LDH. Arterial samples were analysed for pH, bicarbonate, carbon dioxide and free calcium ion levels. Surgical parameters like duration of operation (for both PCNL and TURP), irrigant fluid (amount and duration of irrigation), height of irrigation fluid, and weight of resected prostate were noted.

# **STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Recorded parameters were analysed using Graph Padln Stat 3.10 version and Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) 17 software. Repeated measure Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to obtain the differences within the group. Tukey's test was used for multiple comparison and p-value less than 0.05 was taken as significant. Results were expressed in the form of mean and standard deviation.

The demographic profile and surgical parameters of the patients in the two groups are shown in [Table/Fig-1,2], respectively. Patients undergoing TURP were older (mean age, 62.7 years) as compared to PCNL (mean age, 37.2 years). A statistically significant increase in serum LDH was observed in both the groups. In TURP, statistically significant increase in serum LDH was seen at intraoperative 30 minute, postoperative- 30 minute, 6 hours and 24 hours; while in PCNL, statistically significant increase in serum LDH was seen at postoperative- 30 minute, 6 hours and 24 hours from baseline [Table/Fig-3].

Parameters		TURP	PCNL
	Range	50-75	20-50
Age (years)	Mean±SD	62.7±6.62	37.2±9.59
Maight (kg)	Range	43-78	45-82
Weight (kg)	Mean±SD	61.25±8.57	60.35±9.56
Llaight (app)	Range	165-175	155-174
Height (cm)	Mean±SD	171.05±2.54	166.3±6.25
[Table/Fig_1]: Dem	ographic Profile		

De Nographic Tronie SD: Standard deviation, SD: Standard deviation, kg: Kilogram, cm: Centimetre

Surgical parameters	TURP	PCNL			
Anaesthetic time (min)	72.75±14.46 (40-100)	134.75±27.93 (90-185)			
Operative time (min)	59.5±14.46 (20- 85)	106.5±22.83 (65-145)			
TURP time (min)	48.5±13.68 (15-70)	-			
Amount of irrigation fluid (L)	14.1±4.4 (3-22)	8.5±2.27 (6-14)			
Time of irrigation (min)	49±13.53 (15-70)	54.25±11.95 (30-80)			
Height of irrigation(cm)	58.9±4.29 (50-65)	60.2±2.37 (55-65)			
Weight (gm) of prostrate	20.15±6.61	-			
IVF (L)	1.54±0.43 (1-3)	2.09±0.45 (2-3)			
Irrigation fluid	1.5% Glycine	Normal saline			
[Table/Fig-2]: Surgical Parameters in TURP and PCNL groups.					

The mean levels of preoperative, intraoperative and postoperative sodium in patients undergoing TURP and PCNL are given in [Table/Fig-4]. There was statistically significant reduction in the mean sodium levels in patients undergoing TURP with 1.5% glycine and PCNL with 0.9% normal saline as irrigating fluid [Table/Fig-4].

There was statistically significant increase in the mean levels of potassium when 1.5% glycine was used as irrigating fluid during TURP. The potassium levels were not significantly altered when

Group		Preop	Intraop	Postop 30 (min)	Postop 6 (hr)	Postop 24 (hr)	p-value
	Range	180-320	190-343	182-392	188-380	186-332	
TURP	Mean±SD	220.50±38.96	244.95±43.60	264.85±56.39	262.45±51.75	247.60±39.43	0.001
	Change	-	24	44	41	27	
	Range	180-298	130-305	190-340	184-365	180-321	
PCNL	Mean±SD	224.55±32.29	232.20±38.82	274.80±45.88	282.50±53.15	249.70±39.61	0.001
	Change		7	50	58	17	
SD: Standard	<b>3]:</b> Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically		ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV	DS			
SD: Standard J/L: Unit per	<b>3]:</b> Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically	significant (p-value <0.05)	ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV	DS	Postop 6 (hr)	Postop 24 (hr)	p-value
SD: Standard J/L: Unit per	<b>3]:</b> Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically	, significant (p-value <0.05) D: Standard deviation; mir	ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV 1: Minutes	DS (A has been applied	Postop 6 (hr) 124-145	Postop 24 (hr) 130-145	p-value
SD: Standard	3]: Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically itre; op: Operatively; S	significant (p-value <0.05) D: Standard deviation; mir Preop	ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV : Minutes Intraop	os A has been applied Postop 30 (min)			<b>p-value</b> 0.001
SD: Standard U/L: Unit per <mark>Group</mark>	3]: Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically itre; op: Operatively; S Range	significant (p-value <0.05) D: Standard deviation; min <b>Preop</b> 133-146	ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV : Minutes Intraop 129-145	A has been applied Postop 30 (min) 126-145	124-145	130-145	
SD: Standard U/L: Unit per Group	3]: Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically itre; op: Operatively; S Range Mean±SD	significant (p-value <0.05) D: Standard deviation; min <b>Preop</b> 133-146	ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV : Minutes Intraop 129-145 136.95±4.04	DS (A has been applied <b>Postop 30 (min)</b> 126-145 134.30±5.09	124-145 133.90±5.59	130-145 135.90±3.93	
SD: Standard U/L: Unit per Group	3]: Serum LDH (U deviation; Statistically itre; op: Operatively; S Range Mean±SD Change	significant (p-value <0.05) D: Standard deviation; mir Preop 133-146 139.15±3.57 -	ne intervals in both group repeated measures of ANOV : Minutes Intraop 129-145 136.95±4.04 (-) 2.2	205 (A has been applied Postop 30 (min) 126-145 134.30±5.09 (-) 4.85	124-145 133.90±5.59 (-) 5.25	130-145 135.90±3.93 (-) 3.25	

normal saline was used as irrigating fluid during PCNL [Table/ Fig-5]. There was decrease in free calcium level intraoperatively and postoperatively, which was below normal level and was statistically significant in both groups[Table/Fig-6].

During TURP, acid base status was found to be within normal limits perioperatively. In PCNL group, slight decrease in pH associated with decrease in bicarbonate was observed perioperatively[Table/Fig-7,8]. This change was statistically significant 30 minutes postoperatively.

It was observed that some of these changes persisted even 24 hours postoperatively in both groups. However, despite the above changes, the haemodynamic parameters like heart rate, blood pressure, ECG and oxygen saturation remained within normal limits [Table/Fig-9,10].

In TURP group, one patient presented with restlessness and pain in abdomen. Patient's blood pressure, heart rate, oxygen saturation, acid base status was comparable to baseline throughout perioperatively. But reduction in serum sodium (133-124 mEq/L) and free calcium ion (1.19-0.95 mmol/L) was noted. An increase in serum potassium (4-4.8 mEq/L) and serum LDH (206-380 U/L) was also noted. Eighteen litres of 1.5% glycine containing irrigation

fluid was used over 45 minutes and 25 grams of prostate was resected.

Another patient in TURP group presented only with restlessness. Similar changes in serum sodium (136-125 mEq/L), serum potassium (3.7-4.4 mEq/L), serum LDH (180-270 U/L) and free calcium ion (1.12-0.95 mmol/L) were noted. Eighteen litres of 1.5% glycine containing irrigation fluid was used over 65 minutes and 30 grams of prostate was resected. This patient had clinically significant blood loss.

One patient undergoing PCNL presented with significant changes in blood pressure (systolic: 120-90 mmHg, diastolic: 74–51 mmHg, HR: 86–70 beats/min). This change was observed after excluding effects of anaesthetic drugs. Ten litres of normal saline was used over 60 minutes for irrigation. Decrease in free calcium ion (1.19-1.06 mmol/L) and increase in serum potassium (4.4-4.8 mEq/L) was noted. Minimal changes in serum sodium (142-139 meq/L) and LDH (180-220 mEq/L) were also noted. Metabolic acidosis was also seen in this patient (pH 7.419-7.293 and associated decrease in bicarbonate). A decrease in temperature (0.90°C) was noted. Blood pressure returned to baseline over next 24 hours without any critical event.

Group		Preop	Intraop	Postop 30 (min)	Postop 6 (hr)	Postop 24 (hr)	p-value
	Range	3.7-5.4	3.5 - 5.1	3.9-5.5	3.2-5.5	3.6-4.9	
TURP	Mean±SD	4.32±0.46	4.34±0.45	4.62±0.46	4.37±0.51	4.27±0.38	0.002
	Change	-	0.02	0.3	0.045	-0.055	
	PCNL	Range	3.8-5.2	3.7-5.5	3.7-5.7	4.0-5.6	
PCNL	Mean±SD	4.40±0.42	4.48±0.54	4.67±0.42	4.57±0.39	4.40±0.35	0.255 (NS)
	Change	-	0.08	0.27	0.165	0	(

**[Table/Fig-5]:** Serum Potassium (mEq/L) levels at different time intervals in both groups SD: Standard deviation; p-value <0.05 considered significant NS: Non significant, (-): decrease from baseline/pre-op value repeated measures of ANOVA has been applied

Group Preop Postop 30 (min) Postop 6 (hr) Postop 24 (hr) Intraop p-value 1.08-1.30 1.01-1.24 0.95-1.18 1.01-1.24 1.05-1.25 Range TURP Mean±SD 1.173±0.0561 1.106±0.0713 1.048±0.0813 1.106±0.0585 1.131±0.0528 0.001 Change -(-) 0.067 (-) 0.125 (-) 0.067 (-) 0.042 1.10-1.29 1.06-1.30 1.01-1.24 .98-1.20 1.05-1.31 Range PCNL Mean+SD  $1.195 \pm 0.0580$ 1.173 + 0.07551.092 + 0.07181.095 + 0.05451.146 + 0.06430.001 Change (-) 0.021 (-)0.102 (-) 0.099 (-) 0.049

[Table/Fig-6]: Calcium ion (mmol/L) levels at different time intervals in both groups. SD: Standard deviation; p-value <0.05 considered significant

(-): decrease from baseline/pre-op value repeated measures of ANOVA has been applied

Group		Preop	Intraop 30	Postop 30 (min)	Postop 6 (hr)	Postop 24 (hr)	p-value
	Range	7.342-7.484	7.392-7.472	7.320-7.470	7.345-7.512	7.342-7.595	
TURP	Mean±SD	7.399±0.041	7.384±0.041	7.373±0.036	7.394±0.044	7.408±0.060	0.333 (NS)
	Change	-	(-)0.0157	(-)0.0269	(-)0.0059	0.0082	
	Range	7.356-7.451	7.297-7.444	7.290-7.410	7.291-7.451	7.351-7.443	
PCNL	Mean±SD	7.394±0.028	7.381±0.041	7.349±0.031	7.384±0.046	7.399±0.032	0.001
	Change	-	(-) 0.0137	(-) 0.045	(-) 0.0108	0.0041	

[Table/Fig-7]: Arterial Blood pH at different time intervals in both groups. p-value <0.05 considered significant; (-): decrease from baseline/pre-op value repeated measures of ANOVA has been applied

Group		Preop	Intraop 30	Postop 30 (min)	Postop 6 (hr)	Postop 24 (hr)	p-value
	Range	20.3-26.2	20.3-25.2	19.1-24.1	18.0-26.0	20.0-29.0	
TURP	Mean±SD	23.66±1.466	22.80±1.494	21.83±1.302	22.87±2.018	24.17±1.875	0.001
	Change	-	(-) 0.855	(-) 1.83	(-) 0.79	0.51	
	Range	22.2-26.4	22.1-24.9	18.1-25.2	21.0-25.0	14.0-27.0	
PCNL	Mean±SD	24.02±1.219	23.10±0.848	21.57±1.603	23.65±1.082	24.00±2.646	0.001
	Change	-	(-) 0.92	(-) 2.45	(-) 0.375	(-) 0.025	

p-value <0.05 considered significant; (-): decrease from baseline/pre-op value repeated measures of ANOVA has been applied

www.jcdr.net

Time interval	HR	SBP	DBP		
0 min	78.67±13.58	132.83±9.57	81.78±7.30		
5 min	77.89±13.04	120.39±15.84	76.06±9.03		
10 min	75.56±12.44	119.33±13.621	73.00±9.31		
15 min	74.94±12.51	117.61±13.267	73.83±9.06		
20 min	74.28±11.61	117.89±13.55	71.83±7.93		
30 min	71.50±11.92	121.17±9.74	74.06±6.36		
45 min	70.33±10.39	123.89±10.58	73.94±7.16		
60 min	68.89±10.52	126.33±11.60	76.17±7.37		
PO 0 min	71.56 ±9.55	125.83±9.94	77.94±7.05		
PO 15min	72.83±10.95	126.17±11.58	78.50±7.13		
PO 30min	70.78±11.55	126.44±12.38	77.94±6.83		
PO 60 min	71.56±10.17	125.39±10.73	78.72±7.89		
p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		
[Table/Fig-9]: Haemodynamic parameters at different time intervals in TURP. repeated measures of ANOVA has been applied					

p-value <0.05 considered statistically significant

Time interval	HR	SBP	DBP		
0 min	86±11.26	86.00±8.62	124.8±9.59		
5 min	85.36±10.26	113.0±14.37	72.79±10.92		
10 min	83.07±12.16	109.71±14.67	71.5±10.23		
20 min	80.29±11.73	110.0±12.77	73.3±9.57		
30 min	79.14±10.20	108.6±10.06	71.2±9.48		
45 min	78.86±14.58	111.4±8.26	71.5±9.81		
60 min	77.36±12.60	117.1±9.59	74.36±9.30		
75 min	77.21±10.40	117.0±9.80	75.2±8.31		
90 min	78.71±11.16	116.4±10.69	75.2±9.31		
105 min	80.21±12.26	115.1±7.12	76.4±7.33		
120 min	81.00±13.94	118.4±9.29	74.9±6.90		
PO 0 min	84.57±10.39	123.6±7.14	79.1±8.42		
PO 15 min	84.00±9.30	122.9±7.37	78.1±7.17		
PO 30 min	83.57±10.04	123.6±6.23	77.7±7.25		
PO 60 min	83.93±8.09	124.5±6.58	76.7±5.92		
p-value	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001		
[Table/Fig-10]: Haemodynamic parameters at different time intervals in PCNL. p-value <0.05 considered significant; repeated measures of ANOVA has been applied					

**DISCUSSION** 

In the present study, decrease in serum sodium from baseline was seen in patients that underwent TURP below normal limits but it was not clinically significant. The maximum decrease in sodium values was noted at 6 hours postoperatively and values did not return to baseline even 24 hours postoperatively. Ghanem AN and Ward JP; and Aziz W and Ather MH who used 1.5% glycine as irrigation fluid, reported reduction in serum sodium value similar to our findings [11,12]. Georgiadou T et al., used mannitol-sorbitol and sterilised water and noted decrease in serum sodium [13]. Similarly, decrease in serum sodium from baseline was seen in PCNL, but value remained within normal limits. The maximum decrease in sodium values during PCNL was noted at 30 minutes postoperatively and values did not return to baseline even 24 hours postoperatively. Feizzadeh B et al., using distilled water as irrigation fluid noted reduction in serum sodium level during PCNL while Mohta M et al., using normal saline as irrigation fluid did not observe any significant changes in serum sodium during PCNL [14,15].

Haemodilution as well as urinary loss of sodium due to forced diuresis leads to decrease in serum sodium level. Reduction in sodium concentration depends upon amount and nature of irrigation fluid and physiological adaptive response of the patients. Absorption of non electrolyte containing solutions like glycine usually leads to more hyponatremia than electrolyte containing solution. In this study, increase in serum potassium from baseline was observed in both groups. Though this increase was clinically non significant in both groups, it was statistically significant in TURP group. Changes in serum potassium have been reported in the past by few authors only, but the findings were inconsistent. Moorthy HK and Philip S [6] found increase in serum potassium when 1.5% glycine was used as irrigation fluid in TURP but no change was observed by Mohta M, when normal saline was used as irrigation fluid during PCNL [15]. Atici S et al., using distilled water reported decrease in serum potassium during PCNL, while Hahn RG et al., found elevation of serum potassium intraoperatively [9,16]. The exact cause of serum potassium changes is not clear. Initial decrease in serum potassium may be due to haemodilution. But when large amount of irrigation fluid is absorbed, increase in serum potassium is noticed which may be explained by physiological cell volume "regulatory volume decrease" mechanism [17] or potassium release due to red blood cell haemolysis.

Increased LDH levels signify haemolysis due to fluid absorption. In TURP patients, statistically significant increase in serum LDH was observed but values remained within normal range. Chen SS et al., using distilled water and Beal JL et al., using distilled water and 1.5% glycine as irrigation fluid noticed haemolysis during TURP [7,18]. Beal JL et al., also noted that though haemolysis was greater in distilled water group, but danger of haemolysis also occurs with other hypotonic solutions, including 1.5% glycine. In PCNL patients, statistically significant increase in serum LDH was observed but values remained within normal range. Aghamir SM et al., used sterile water and isotonic water as irrigation fluid and did not notice haemolysis during PCNL [19] while Purkait B et al., found normal saline causes less haemolysis hyponatermia and hypokalemia in renal failure patients than distilled water [20]. Extent of haemolysis depends on nature and amount of irrigation fluid absorbed, which inturn, depend on many surgical factors. Our findings are similar to those of Saxena D et al., who demonstrated a significant fall in serum sodium and haemolysis in patients undergoing PCNL using normal saline which was corelated significantly to volume of irrigation fluid used and the duration of surgery [21].

So there is possibility of haemolysis during TURP as well as PCNL particularly when TURP time, irrigation time and irrigation fluid amount tend to be higher. If haemolysis is severe enough or if patient's renal functions are impaired, renal damage may not be reversible and could lead to acute renal failure. Haemolysis along with coagulopathy has been demonstrated to be present in patients undergoing TURP by Shin HJ et al using thromboelastography [22]. Hence, markers for haemolysis must be monitored when organ functions like renal function are compromised or surgical factors exceed accepted limits.

Decrease in serum osmolality and free calcium ion is marker of haemodilution due to fluid absorption. Hahn RG reported that changes in serum sodium and free calcium ion concentration occurred to the same extent during irrigation by glycine [23]. In our study, biochemically as well as statistically significant decrease in free calcium ion was noticed in all patients. Exact mechanism of free calcium ion changes and the clinical importance of hypocalcaemia during these endourological procedures have not been studied extensively in the past but could be associated with coagulation abnormalities and increase INR. Usually hypocalcaemia remains biochemically and clinically non significant, but dilutional hypocalcaemia should be expected to co-exist with hyponatremia in patients who develop TURP syndrome and in patients with persistent hypotension [24] not responding to vasopressor or inotropes during these procedures.

In the present study, no change in acid base status was seen during TURP whereas slight metabolic acidosis was seen during PCNL. This change was physiologically non significant. Mohta M et al., using normal saline as irrigation fluid had similar findings during PCNL. Hahn RG and Scheingraber S et al., concluded that larger

irrigant fluid absorption might lead to clinically relevant metabolic acidosis [25,26].

In most patients, fluid absorption is mild to moderate leading to minor biochemical, metabolic, haematological and haemodynamic changes without clinical symptoms and signs. But patient may present with signs and symptoms if fluid absorption is significant or in elderly patients or patients with compromised cardiovascular, respiratory and renal functions. Two patients (10%) in TURP group and one patient (5%) in PCNL group presented with early feature of TURP syndrome. These two patients of TURP group were given injection frusemide. These patients were observed closely and managed conservatively.

#### Limitation(s)

The study was conducted for a short duration, hence a limited sample size was considered. A study with a larger sample size could validate our findings hence this study may be considered as a pilot study.

## CONCLUSION(S)

The results of the study indicated that use of irrigation fluids in TURP and PCNL lead to haemodilution, decrease in serum sodium and free calcium ion levels and increase in serum potassium concentration and increase in LDH levels signifying haemolysis due to irrigation fluid absorption. Hence, authors recommend monitoring of biochemical, metabolic, haematological and haemodynamic parameters mainly serum sodium and free calcium ion for predicting changes due to fluid absorption. Further studies with a larger number of patients are required to validate our findings.

#### REFERENCES

- Gravenstein D. Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) syndrome: A review of the patho-physiology and management. AnaesthAnalg. 1997;84(2):438-46.
- [2] Hahn RG. Fluid absorption in endoscopic surgery.Br J Anaesth. 2006;96(1):8-20.
- [3] Hahn RG. The volumetric fluid balance as a measure of fluid absorption during transurethral resection of the prostate. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2000;17(9):559-65.
- [4] Drobin D, Hjelmqvist H, Piros D, Hahn RG. Monitoring of fluid absorption with nitrous oxide during transurethral resection of the prostate. ActaAnaesthesiol Scand. 2008;52(4):509-13.
- [5] Coppinger SW, Lewis CA, Milroy EJ. A method of measuring fluid balance during transurethral resection of prostate. Br J Urol. 1995;76(1):66-72.
- [6] Moorthy HK, Philip S. Serum electrolytes in TURP syndrome- is the role of potassium under- estimated? Indian J Anaesth. 2002;46:441-44.
- [7] Chen SS, Lin AT, Chen KK, Chang LS. Haemolysis in transure thral resection of the prostate using distilled water as the irrigant. J Chin Med Assoc. 2006;69(6):270.

- [8] Gehring H, Nahm W, Baerwald J. Irrigation fluid absorption during transurethral resection of the prostate: Spinal vs. general anaesthesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 1999;43(4):458-63.
- [9] Atici S, Zeren S, Aribogan A. Hormonal and haemodynamic changes during percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Int Urol Nephrol. 2001;32(3):311-14.
- [10] Dhand NK, Khatkar MS. (2014). Statulator: An online statistical calculator. Sample Size Calculator for Comparing Two Paired Means.
- [11] Ghanem AN, Ward JP. Osmotic and metabolic sequelae of volumetric overload in relation to the TUR syndrome. Br J Urol. 1990;66(1):71-78.
- [12] Aziz W, Ather MH. Frequency of electrolyte derangement after transurethral resection of prostate: Need for postoperative electrolyte monitoring. Advances in Uro. 2015. Doi: 10.1155/2015/415735.
- [13] Georgiadou T, Vasilakakis I, Meitanidou M, Georgiou M, Filippopoulos K, Kanakoudis F, et al. Changes in serum sodium concentration after transurethral procedures.IntUrolNephrol. 2007;39(3):887-91.
- [14] Feizzadeh B, Doosti H, Movarrekh M. Distilled water as an irrigation fluid in percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Urol J. 2006;3(4):208-11.
- [15] Mohta M, Bhagchandani T, Tyagi A, Pendse M, Sethi AK. Haemodynamic, electrolyte and metabolic changes during percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Int Urol Nephrol. 2008;40(2):477-82. Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research 17.
- [16] Hahn RG, Berlin T, Lewenhaupt A. Factors influencing the osmolality and the concentration of blood haemoglobin and electrolytes during transurethral resection of prostate. ActaAnaesth Scand. 1987;31(7):601-07.
- [17] Hirose M, Tanaka Y. Serum potassium change during the TURP syndrome by cell volume regulation. Can J Anaesth. 1992;39(3):300-01.
- [18] Beal JL, Freysz M, Berthelon G, D'Athis P, Briet S, Wilkening M. Consequences of fluid absorption during transurethral resection of the prostate using distilled water or glycine 1.5 percent. Can J Anaesth. 1989;36(3 pt 1):278-82.
- [19] Aghamir SM, Alizadeh F, Meysamie A, AssefiRas S, Edrisi L. Sterile water versus isotonic saline solution as irrigant fluid during percutaneous nephrolithotomy. Urol J. 2009;6(4):249-53.
- [20] Purkait B, Kumar A, Bansal A, Sokhal AK, Sankhwar SN, Singh K. Is normal saline the best irrigation fluid to be used during percutaneous nephrolithotomy in renal failure patient? A prospective randomized controlled trial. Turk J Urol. 2016;42(4):267-71.
- [21] Saxena D, Sapra D, Dixit A, Chipde S, Agarwal S. Effects of fluid absorption following percutaneous nephrolithotomy: Changes in blood cell indices and electrolytes. Urol Ann. 2019;11(2):163-67. Doi: 10.4103/UA.UA\_117\_18. PMID: 31040601; PMC6176206.
- [22] Shin HJ, Na HS, Jeon YT, Park HP, Nam SW, Hwang JW. The impact of irrigating fluid absorption on blood coagulation in patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate: A prospective observational study using rotational thromboelastometry. Medicine (Baltimore). 2017;96(2):e5468. Doi: 10.1097/ MD.00000000005468. PMID: 28079789; PMCID: PMC5266151.
- [23] Hahn RG. Dilutional hypocalcaemia from urological irrigating fluids. Int Urol Nephrol. 1997;29(2):201-06.
- [24] Singer M, Patel M, Webb AR, Bullen C. Management of the transurethral prostate resection syndrome: time for reappraisal? Crit Care Med. 1990;18(12):1479-80.
- [25] Hahn RG. Acid- base status following glycine absorption in transurethral surgery. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 1992;9(1):01-05.
- [26] Scheingraber S, Heitmann L, Weber W, Finsterer U. Are there acid base changes duringtransurethral resection of the prostate (TURP)? AnaesthAnalg. 2000;90(4):946-50.

#### PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

- 1. Associate Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Dr. BSA Medical College, Delhi, India.
- 2. Director Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, UCMS and GTB Hospital, Delhi, India.
- 3. Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesia, UCMS and GTB Hospital, Delhi, India.
- 4. Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, UCMS and GTB Hospital, Delhi, India.
- Professor, Department of Biochemestry, UCMS and GTB Hospital, Delhi, India.

# NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Nandita Adlakha,

167, Defence Apartment, Inder Enclave, Paschim Vihar, Delhi, India. E-mail: nandita2511@gmail.com

#### AUTHOR DECLARATION:

- Financial or Other Competing Interests: None
- Was Ethics Committee Approval obtained for this study? Yes
- Was informed consent obtained from the subjects involved in the study? Yes
- For any images presented appropriate consent has been obtained from the subjects.

#### PLAGIARISM CHECKING METHODS: [Jain H et al.]

- Plagiarism X-checker: Jul 26, 2021
- Manual Googling: Nov 16, 2021
- iThenticate Software: Dec 10, 2021 (4%)

Date of Submission: Jul 23, 2021 Date of Peer Review: Sep 14, 2021 Date of Acceptance: Dec 11, 2021 Date of Publishing: Feb 01, 2022

ETYMOLOGY: Author Origin